

# Gyrokinetic Constraints In Tokamak Pedestals

<sup>1</sup>J. F. Parisi, <sup>2</sup>G. Avdeeva, <sup>3</sup>K. Barada, <sup>1</sup>J. W. Berkery, <sup>4</sup>C. Clauser, <sup>5</sup>A. J. Creely, <sup>6</sup>M. Curie, <sup>1</sup>A. Diallo, <sup>7</sup>W. Dorland, <sup>6</sup>R. Gaur, <sup>8</sup>W. Guttenfelder, <sup>9,10</sup>D. R. Hatch, <sup>4</sup>J. W. Hughes, <sup>1</sup>S. M. Kaye, <sup>1</sup>A. Kleiner, <sup>11</sup>L. A. Kogan, <sup>5</sup>A. Q. Kuang, <sup>1</sup>M. Lampert, <sup>3</sup>T. Macwan, <sup>1</sup>J. E. Menard, <sup>2</sup>J. McClenaghan, <sup>4</sup>M. A. Miller, <sup>11</sup>A. O. Nelson, <sup>1</sup>F. I. Parra

<sup>1</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

<sup>2</sup>General Atomics, P.O. Box 85608, San Diego, CA, USA

<sup>3</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>5</sup>Commonwealth Fusion Systems, Devens, MA, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

<sup>8</sup>Type One Energy, 8383 Greenway Boulevard, Middleton, WI, USA

<sup>9</sup>Institute for Fusion Studies, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA

<sup>10</sup>ExoFusion, Austin, Texas, USA

<sup>11</sup>United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, UK

<sup>12</sup>Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Email: [jparisi@pppl.gov](mailto:jparisi@pppl.gov)

We find the pedestal width-height scaling [0] for multiple tokamaks using a new kinetic ballooning mode (KBM) gyrokinetic threshold model [1]. At tight aspect ratio, we reproduce NSTX's experimental linear pedestal width-height scaling for ELM My H-modes [2], overcoming previous issues with tight aspect ratio pedestal prediction [3]. We reproduce the square root pedestal width-height scaling [0] at regular aspect ratio for previously published DIII-D discharges [4]. Our model uses EFIT-AI [5] to calculate global equilibria with self-consistent bootstrap current and can be applied to any H-mode equilibria. For ELM My NSTX discharges, KBM physics is needed to match the experimental data: we find that infinite-n MHD stability overpredicts pedestal pressure and underpredicts pedestal width. In addition to device-specific results, we report the effect of aspect ratio and plasma shaping on width-height scalings, showing the dependence on various shaping parameters [6]. Combined with peeling ballooning mode (PBM) stability [7,8], our model will calculate a maximum inter-ELM pedestal width and height based on KBM and non-ideal PBM stability. Finally, we incorporate heat and particle transport physics into our stability model, showing how transport can change significantly near the KBM stability boundary [9]. This work is an important step towards a unified predictive capability of pedestal stability and transport for tokamak equilibria across a range of operating space.

This work was supported by US Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02- 09CH11466.

- [0] P.B. Snyder *et al* 2009 Phys. Plasmas 16, 056118
- [1] J.F. Parisi *et al* 2023 arXiv:2308.05238
- [2] A. Diallo *et al* 2011 Nucl. Fusion 51 103031
- [3] R.J. Groebner *et al* 2013 Nucl. Fusion 53 093024
- [4] W. Guttenfelder *et al* 2021 Nucl. Fusion 61 056005
- [5] S. Kruger *et al* 2022, PP11.00057, APS DPP Meeting
- [6] J.F. Parisi *et al* 2023 arXiv:2312.05216
- [7] A. Kleiner *et al* 2021 Nucl. Fusion 61 064002
- [8] A. Kleiner *et al* 2022 Nucl. Fusion 62 076018
- [9] J.F. Parisi *et al* 2024 arXiv:2401.14260